IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

JOHNNY RAY B. 1,

Case No. 3:18-cv-00618-MK

ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY

I)efe	nda	ant.		

AIKEN, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mustafa Kasubhai filed his Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 18) recommending that the final decision of the Commissioner denying plaintiff's application benefits be affirmed. The matter is now before me. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's F&R, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), *cert denied*, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

¹ In the interest of privacy, this order uses only the first name and the initial of the last name of the non-governmental party or parties in this case.

Plaintiff has filed timely objections to the F&R (doc. 20), and the Commissioner has filed a timely response to those objections (doc. 21). Thus, I review the F&R *de novo*.

Having considered the record and the arguments offered by the parties, I find no error in Magistrate Judge Kasubhai's opinion. Thus, I adopt the F&R (doc. 18) in its entirety. Accordingly, the decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED. This action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 41 day of August, 2019.

Ann Aiken

United States District Judge